

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		eff.		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/981,785	10/19/2001	Kunimitsu Aoki	011415	6974
23850	7590 12/11/2003		EXAMINER	
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP			MENGISTU, AMARE	
1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			2673	
			DATE MAILED: 12/11/2003	· 4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/981,785	AOKI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Amare Mengistu	2673	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>.</u>		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1 & 2 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer of the second sheet (s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 9)	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the reference was included in the first sentence of the reference was included in the first sentence of the reference was included in the first sentence of the reference was included in the first sentence of the reference was included in the first sentence of the reference was included in the first sentence of the reference was included in the first sentence of the reference was included in the first sentence of the reference was included in the first sentence of the reference was included in the first sentence of the reference was included in the first sentence of the reference was included in the first sentence of the reference was included in the first sentence of the reference was included in the first sentence of the reference was included in the first sentence of the reference was included.	s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been receiv i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receiv c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 st sentence of the specification of visional application has been re- c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	tion No yed in this National Stage yed. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. sceived. 0 and/or 121 since a specific	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/981,785

Art Unit: 2673

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oikawa el al (5,504,622) in view of Baker et al (6,186,648).

As to claims 1 and 2, **Oikawa el al** (hereinafter **Oikawa**) clearly teaches HUD device by which an image displayed on a windshield of a vehicle and a virtual image of the image projected on the windshield (fig.8) comprising: a correction member (fig.8 (18)), disposed between the windshield (fig.8 (2)) and the display source (fig.8 (4)), for transmitting a light of the image (fig.8 (P1, P2)) there through so as to correct the image to be projected on the windshield so that distortion of the image, which is see from the eye point (fig.8 (10),col.4, lines 65- col.5, line 1, lines 37-40); wherein the correction member is formed by extracting a specific portion, which can cancel out the distortion of an image (col.4, lines 65- col.5, line 1,col.5, lines 55-60).

Oikawa teaches a correction member is parabolic optical reflective face (fig.8 (18)); please note: optical could be a lens), but has failed to teach the curvature is a single radius to extract a specific portion. However; the patent of **Baker et al** (hereinafter **Baker**) clearly teaches that for optical reflector to have a single radius curvature is well known (col.7, lines 20-24, 29-37, col.11, lines 32-33).

Application/Control Number: 09/981,785

Art Unit: 2673

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have been motivated to substitute **Oikawa** correction member with **Baker**'s a single radius curvature reflector, because this will increase the concentrating power of the optical system and enhancing the collectable radiant flux at the specific target portion.

3. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamura (5,013,135) in view of Baker et al (6,186,648).

As to claims 1 and 2, Yamamura clearly teaches HUD device by which an image displayed on a windshield of a vehicle and a virtual image of the image projected on the windshield (see, Abstract; figs.1, 3,6) comprising: a correction member (figs.1, 3,6 (3)), disposed between the windshield (figs.6 (19,23)) and the display source (fig.1 (2)), for transmitting a light of the image there through so as to correct the image to be projected on the windshield so that distortion of the image, which is see from the eye point (fig.1,3,6, col.2, lines 48- col.3, lines 10, col.4, lines 49-65, col.8, lines 1-4).

Yamamura teaches a correction member is parabolic optical reflective face (figs. 1,3,6 (3)), but has failed to teach the curvature is a single radius to extract a specific portion. However, the patent of **Baker et al** (hereinafter **Baker**) clearly teaches that for optical reflector to have a single radius curvature is well known (col.7, lines 20-24, 29-37, col.11, lines 32-33).

4. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have been motivated to substitute **Yamamura** correction member with **Baker**'s a single radius curvature reflector, because this will increase the

Art Unit: 2673

concentrating power of the optical system and enhancing the collectable radiant flux at

the specific target portion.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Amare Mengistu whose telephone number is (703) 305-

4880. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, T-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on (703) 305-4938. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-

9600.

Primary Examiner

Page 4

Art Unit 2673

A.M

Dec. 5, 2003